



Arizona State Senate *Issue Brief*

September 20, 2006

Note to Reader:

The Senate Research Staff provides nonpartisan, objective legislative research, policy analysis and related assistance to the members of the Arizona State Senate. The *Research Briefs* series, which includes the *Issue Brief*, *Background Brief* and *Issue Paper*, is intended to introduce a reader to various legislatively related issues and provide useful resources to assist the reader in learning more on a given topic. Because of frequent legislative and executive activity, topics may undergo frequent changes. Additionally, nothing in the *Brief* should be used to draw conclusions on the legality of an issue.

SCHOOL DESEGREGATION IN ARIZONA

OFFICE OF CIVIL RIGHTS

Under the provisions of Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, "no person in the U.S. may be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of or be otherwise subjected to discrimination under any program or activity receiving federal funding from the U.S. Department of Education on the grounds of race, color or national origin." The agency responsible for monitoring and resolving discrimination complaints is the U.S. Department of Education's Office of Civil Rights (OCR). The OCR ensures compliance through two methods: 1) OCR-initiated cases, often called compliance reviews, which can include random site visits that permit the OCR to target resources on acute compliance problems and 2) reviews of complaints made by any party who feels discrimination is occurring at an educational institution that receives federal funding. The OCR also provides technical assistance to help institutions achieve voluntary compliance with civil rights laws.

The OCR enforces several federal civil rights laws prohibiting discrimination in programs or activities of institutions receiving federal funding from the U.S. Department of Education, including all state education agencies, elementary and secondary school systems, colleges and universities, vocational schools, proprietary schools, state vocational rehabilitation agencies, libraries and museums. Areas covered include admissions, recruitment, financial aid, academic programs, student treatment and services, counseling and guidance, discipline, classroom assignment, grading, vocational education, recreation, physical education, athletics, housing and employment.

Following an investigation of alleged federal civil rights violations, if the OCR determines that evidence supports a conclusion that a school district has failed to comply with applicable laws or regulations, the OCR will negotiate with the district to reach a voluntary administrative compliance agreement. The provisions of the administrative agreement are aligned with the findings of the investigation and the complaint is considered resolved if the agreement is fully performed. Noncompliance with the administrative agreement can lead to a letter of finding, which

outlines the consequences for failure to comply, or the matter may be referred to the Department of Justice.

Generally, school districts budget for costs associated with the requirements of a consent decree resulting from a lawsuit filed against the district for civil rights violations. It is left up to each state to determine how, if at all, to financially support school districts that are in noncompliance with an OCR administrative agreement. The OCR does not take a position on funding.

ARIZONA DESEGREGATION FUNDING

Nineteen school districts in Arizona currently budget for costs resulting from an ongoing or resolved OCR administrative agreement or a court order of desegregation. Arizona statute allows a school district to budget and levy an additional property tax above and beyond the tax used for regular maintenance and operations for expenses incurred for any measures or activities designed to remediate alleged or proven racial discrimination. This budget authority is typically referred to as “desegregation funding,” although monies may be used to remediate any civil rights category violation.

Arizona school districts may budget for desegregation activities due to an OCR administrative agreement or consent decree if the expenses incurred for these activities were initiated before the termination of the court order or an OCR administrative agreement. School districts budgeting for an OCR administrative agreement or consent decree costs must: 1) prepare and employ a separate maintenance and operation and capital outlay desegregation budget; 2) utilize a budget format that allows the school district to detail all of the expenditures resulting from any program implementation required by a consent decree or an OCR administrative agreement in their annual financial report; and 3) annually collect and report program activity data related to the consent decree or an OCR administrative agreement to the Arizona Department of Education (ADE). The ADE must compile and submit a report to the Governor and the

Legislature that includes an annual financial report regarding desegregation activities, the programmatic and per pupil costs for desegregation activities, a summary of the results of desegregation activities, a summary of all relevant court filings, pleadings and correspondence and the actions taken to achieve equitable status.

Finally, school districts must also ensure that desegregation expenses are educationally justifiable, result in equal educational opportunities, promote systemic and organizational changes within the district, align with the Arizona Academic Standards, accomplish specific actions to remediate the violation and be used in accordance with the plan the school district submits to ADE.

In 2002, the Legislature limited the ability of school districts to increase desegregation budgets by capping desegregation funding for FY 2003 and FY 2004 at the FY 2001-2002 level. In 2004, the Legislature adopted a “soft cap” allowing desegregation expenditures to increase for enrollment growth and inflation in FY 2005, which was extended in 2006 and again in 2007.

The Phoenix Union High School District and Tucson Unified School District governing boards each initiated a process to discontinue their respective court orders in 2004. In 2005, a judge lifted the court order against the Phoenix Union High School District after the district improved dropout rates, increased attendance and developed magnet programs. However, the District will continue budgeting for desegregation expenses in an effort to maintain the desegregation programs.

On the following page is a chart illustrating those school districts in Arizona currently budgeting for desegregation for school year 2006-2007:

School District	Type	Budget ¹
Agua Fria Union	OCR	\$895,000
Amphitheater Unified	OCR	\$4,025,000
Buckeye Elementary	OCR	\$977,757
Cartwright Elementary	OCR	\$4,444,165
Flagstaff Unified	OCR	\$2,144,289
Glendale Union	OCR	\$5,889,061
Holbrook Unified	OCR	\$2,477,644
Isaac Elementary	OCR	\$4,758,896
Maricopa Unified	OCR	\$636,832
Mesa Unified	OCR	\$8,433,350
Phoenix Elementary	OCR	\$10,214,913
Phoenix Union	Order	\$51,219,405
Roosevelt Elementary	OCR	\$13,043,534
Scottsdale Unified	OCR	\$7,090,502
Tempe Elementary	OCR	\$13,627,690
Tucson Unified	Order	\$62,461,811
Washington Elementary	OCR	\$6,606,735
Wilson Elementary	OCR	\$1,867,447
Window Rock Unified	OCR	\$632,088
Total:		\$201,446,119

¹ As reported by the ADE school finance unit. Totals in column include combined budgeted amounts for capital and maintenance and operations for FY 2006-2007, except for Isaac Elementary amounts, which reflect budgeted amounts for FY 2005-2006.

ADDITIONAL RESOURCES

- Office for Civil Rights
<http://www.ed.gov/about/offices/list/ocr/index.html>
- Hunter, Michael and Gifford, Mary. “*School Finance Primer*”, February 2000
www.arizonatax.org/research_publications1.htm
- Desegregation Statutes: A.R.S. §§ 15-816.02 and 15-910